Benefits of Smart cities and Challenges

Ritu Raj
rituraj1481999@gmail.com
Chandigarh University, Gharuan Mohali
Punjab.

Manmeet Kaur
Assistant Professor, Department of CSE,
Chandigarh University
Manmeet.cse@cumail.in

I. INTRODUCTION

The foundation of urban communities has advanced through numerous vintages of innovation that created along their very own way, regularly independently. The need in interfacing its part frameworks, which rely on the other, frequently makes city utilities and administrations work sub-ideally, constraining the formation of new esteem included administrations, expanding transport costs, harming existing coordinations chains and monetary models. City organizers and chiefs are along these lines expanding their emphasis on carbon decrease and vitality administration, as a methods for changing their urban areas into more productive and manageable condition.

Close by issues in framework, consistently about 180,000 individuals move to urban areas, making in excess of 60 million new urban occupants consistently. Urban communities must arrangement for populace development, and present a more economical, effective, and liveable model in urban improvement. Present day computerized innovations offer the opportunity to make a harmony between social, ecological, and monetary open doors that will be conveyed through shrewd city arranging, plan, and development. The present multiplication of advancements, open information activities, and client created content is as of now producing a gigantic measure of information. The cutting edge city ought to give a situation in which data streams quickly and effortlessly, making of itself a stage for both the scattering and dynamic utilization of development to enhance the manner in which it works and people groups' lives. It takes after that the frameworks working urban areas' physical foundation need to end up as firmly coordinated as they can be, ready to draw adequately on a tremendous supply of cross-space city information.

The pattern for frameworks reconciliation and information gathering in city condition is on the ascent, as end clients look to understand the advantages of digital physical combination through enhanced control and administration of assets. To decrease costs, interconnect exercises and incorporate frameworks, urban areas have expanded their dependence on robotized Machine-to-Machine (M2M) associations [2-4]. Urban communities have been injected with gadgets associated with the web in this manner making a system of associated unavoidable things, in particular the Internet of Things (IoT).

Inside brilliant urban areas, the Internet of Things is created by equipment and programming advancements. Equipment segments incorporate associated gadgets (e.g. sensors, meters actuators, cell phones, wearable) and the interconnecting system (e.g. cell, Wi-Fi, Bluetooth, 5G). Programming segments incorporate for example merchant's gadget administration frameworks, information stockpiling stages, and examination and dashboards applications. The vision of the Internet of Things connected to shrewd urban areas is acknowledged when both equipment and programming segments are interconnected and information is traded crosswise over various partners, frameworks and esteem chains. Such an incorporated and insightful condition, nearby the progressions in innovation and headways in information social event and examination are opening new conceivable outcomes for savvy urban communities innovation. Joined frameworks shape the reason for the idea of Smart Cities, and acknowledge arrangement of frameworks incorporation, and cost-proficient arrangements that will furnish subjects and organizations with a high caliber of life while meeting its aspiring supportability motivation.

The reason for this paper is to give a compact and orderly audit of the primary instruments and advancements the Internet of Things applications which are changing urban areas into a constant interconnected and shrewd condition. This paper starts with the dialog of the meaning of brilliant urban communities. The second segment of the paper presents the idea of the Internet of Things. The third area presents best in class apparatuses and advancements for the incorporation of savvy urban areas and the Internet of Things. The fifth area presents uses of the Internet of Things in shrewd urban communities. At last the last segment condenses the rising exploration headings, trailed by the ends.

The Right to the city

Starting up my research in smart cities over 6 years ago, I never gave much thought to anything other than the tidal wave of "change" and "smartness" that you hear about in the news, in the press, in books, and mostly in conferences. Generally, smart cities is interpreted as intelligent responses to the challenges brought up by urbanisation. Edward Glaeser, one of the most renowned economists, argues that cities make people richer, smarter, greener, healthier and happier. In pursuit of these benefits every day nearly 180,000 people are moving into cities, and creating more than 60 million new urban dwellers every year. Whereas in early 20th century only 13% of the world's population lived in cities, this ratio amplified to 29% in 1950, to 50% in 2009, and is expected to reach 70% by 2050.

Urbanisation can bring new opportunities, particularly in relation to employment and participation in organised groups. However, it also brings many challenges. The current unprecedented speed in urbanisation in cities has been often accompanied by the aggravation of many challenges associated urban living in terms of law and order, health, safety and security, mobility, waste disposal, housing, utilities, education, transportation, and delivery basic public services. Such challenges and complications have motivated policymakers to seek balances between industrialization, economic development, urban growth, geographic sprawl and environmental necessities to create smarter and more sustainable cities.

 Digital technologies offer a new wave of opportunities to mitigate some of these impacts and create a balance between social, environmental and economic opportunities that will be delivered through smart city planning, design, and construction. It is indeed a very exciting time, and many people are all energised with the huge potential that smart cities can bring to us. We hear stories about the smart cars, 5G connections, wearable devices, high definition walls showing off Twitter trending topics, smart toasters and heaters that will work at the time someone defines, smart umbrellas that will let you know when you will need them, etc.

However, to me smart cities is a potential dangerous title as it seems it is not necessarily something that is going to do the world tremendous amounts of good. We have a society that is stratified, and it is pretty obvious that those kinds of things are probably only going to be available, at least in the long run, for a very privileged few. The main reason for this is that smart cities have been mainly designed as centralized top-down projects led by corporations, which put municipalities under pressure to deploy their projects and in which citizens appear at best as consumers.

The process of change

   The process of urban transformation depends upon the exercise of a collective power rather than individual, hence making and remaking cities is a right that belongs to every person. Harvey claims the right to make and remake cities is the most neglected of our human rights. To me, he is right. When we look into the right to make and remake cities, we see that current power structures fail to take into account the diversity and equality aspects needed to ensure urban inhabitants enjoy the most access and influence in shaping their cities.

   To me, the simple reality is that more people involved in the smart cities conversation will create more impact, will accelerate technology change and adoption, and will change the world. We have to adopt a new leadership pattern and smart cities design: the middle-out approach: Joining top-down initiatives coming from governments and industry providers with the bottom-up approaches coming from community-led initiatives. This is what Jacobs called "self-organization"—the capacity of decentralised actors to organize themselves into something greater than the sum of their parts without any direction from above. In the smart cities context, the top down approaches put into places the arrangements to facilitate the bottom-up approaches to flourish and prosper in the long term.

   We can start this process of change you've got to get out and walk, you've got to get out and ask, and mostly important: you've got to get out and listen..

Smart cities for whome

   We see people mentioning machine learning, deep learning, blockchain, wiring up schools, designing Internet of Things and data platforms so can have access to the world of information. Plugging in and giving access to useful and harmonised information and urban services is something that we really should do, however, there is a much bigger question out there that is what does the access to it will look like? How will society be using it?

   We are seriously having the idea of "smart cities" moved ruthlessly towards a way of design that really mainly takes into account a singular point of view. Having access to "smart things" that have been designed without all society in mind and that is not relevant to the lives of all the people around us is not providing access at all. How can people be given access to information or to advanced technologies without the knowledge to use them, and beyond that, without understanding what is behind it? The

knowledge economy and smart cities together have a huge potential but who is going to participate in and drive that economy? Is it really going to be everybody out there beyond an elite group?

The simple reality is that smart cities have the capability of providing benefits for everybody only when it is created by everybody. Different views yield positive economic and social results, and avoid smart cities developments being designed by a singular perspective. Diversity and inclusion has been proven to be crucial to both business and societal success. This is no longer a morality agenda, rather, it is a prosperity agenda.

It seems to me that until everybody is seating at the table and driving the design and decision process about the future of our cities we will not fulfill this tremendous potential.

Benefits of smart cities

- Security
- Water/waste efficiency
- Increase Awereness to traffic and infrastructure issues
- Transporation
- Awerness about technology to all people etc..

Demerits of smart cities

- Privacy concerns for citizen
- Security and safety concern.
- Cost if living etc..

Some Areas Already Using Smart cities

Bhopal.

Bhubaneswar.

Pune.

Jaipur.

Surat.

Ludhiana.

Kochi.

Ahmedabad.

References

1. RFID Book. Online publication. Recommended 2005 .
2. Goldberg, D. E. (1989). Genetic algorithms in search, optimization, and machine learning. Reading, MA: Addison-Wesley.
3. Sumit Kumar, Nishant Sharma, Gagan Sharma "**Li-Fi Technology in Wireless Communication**" Published in International Journal of Trend in Research and Development (IJTRD), ISSN: 2394-9333, Volume-4 | Issue-3 , June 2017, URL: http://www.ijtrd.com/papers/IJTRD8584.pdf
4. Kumari Neha et al."Using Reconfigurable Directional Antenna in MANET." Procedia Computer Science 125 (2018): 194-200.
5. Kumai, Neha, et al. "Mobile ad hoc networks and energy efficiency using directional antennas: A Review." *Intelligent Computing and Control Systems (ICICCS), 2017 International Conference on*. IEEE, 2017.
6. K.R. et al. "Evaluation of Mobile Ad Hoc Network with Reactive and Proactive Routing Protocols and Mobility Models." *International Journal of New Innovations in Engineering and Technology*: 37-49.
7. Singh, VK, Kumar, R. "Multichannel MAC Scheme to DeliverReal-Time Safety Packets in Dense VANET". Procedia computerscience ISSN 1877-0509, 2018.
8. Ankush Sharma, Aakanksha "**Task Scheduling in Cloud: A Literature Review**" Published in International Journal of Trend in Research and Development (IJTRD), ISSN: 2394-9333, Volume-4 | Issue-2 , April 2017, URL: http://www.ijtrd.com/papers/IJTRD8351.pdf
9. Aakanksha, Ankush Sharma "**Problem of Task Scheduling in cloud: Importance and Complications**" Published in International Journal of Trend in Research and Development (IJTRD), ISSN: 2394-9333, Volume-4 | Issue-2 , April 2017, URL: http://www.ijtrd.com/papers/IJTRD8352.pdf
10. S. Kapil et al, et al. "Analysing the Role of Risk Mitigation andMonitoring in Software Development (2018).