Criteria for comparing Aspect mining Techniques

Anil Kumar Pandey,
Computer Centre
Banaras Hindu University
akpandey@bhu.ac.in

Manjari Gupta
DST Centre of Interdisciplinary Sciences,
Banaras Hindu University
Manjari_gupta@rediffmail.com

Introduction:

It is very difficult and very complex task for designing and implementing software system. The development of software and evaluation with comparison of the techniques used in determination of crosses cutting concern. The concerns are divided into two part i.e. core concern and cross cutting concern. The tendency of core concern in developed software tools is to capture from central functionality of module and cross-cutting concern from system level. In any enterprise application, there are a number of concerns which need to be taken care of in addition to the main business logic. These concerns are spread across the application and into multiple application layers. Such concerns are logging, transaction handling, performance monitoring, security etc. These concerns are knows as cross cutting concerns of the application. T Tourwe et al [14] has given aspect aspectual views using formal concept analysis. The idea of P. Tonella et al [15] regarding formal concept analysis of execution Traces. The type of cross cutting concern have discovered through JHotDraw in a case study and comparison with other techniques. M. Ceccato et al[8] has applied and combined the three aspect mining techniques for software quality control using recalled method and seed quality as evaluation measure. A Kellens et al [11] has proposed his idea for survey of automated code- level aspect mining techniques.

2. Criteria for Comparison

A set of new comparison criteria will be discussed with their importance in comparison of aspect mining techniques.

2.1 Input Data Requirement

In the process of comparison of aspect mining techniques the role of input data is quite important. S. Beru et al [1] has projected his idea regarding aspect mining applying the norms of event traces. While M. Martin et al[17] floated an idea and used Fan-in Analysis to identify the Aspects.
### Table 1: Kinds of Input Data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Particulars</th>
<th>Static</th>
<th>Dynamic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Execution pattern</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dynamic Analysis</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Identification Analysis</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Language Clues</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unique Methods</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Method Clustering</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Call Clustering</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fan-in-Analysis</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clone Detection(PGD)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clone Detection(token)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clone Detection(AST)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.1.a. Source Code: Many techniques require source code as input of the system which provide the system within legacy. The mining of source code is very common in all techniques. Tuourvwe and Mens [22] developed tools for the analysis of Source code of result was that interesting concerns in the source code are reflected by the using naming conventions in the class. Shepherd et al (2005) proposed the techniques that are based on assumption that cross cutting concern as natural language processing on source code.

2.1.b. CVS Transaction: The identification of aspects is based on co addition: the same set of calls is added in many locations. We compute all co- additions of a transaction efficiently using concept analysis and identify the most likely aspects. We leverage this observation to mine aspect fro CVS repositories, because transaction are typically small and we mine one transaction at a time, our mining scales well defined. Software may contain functionality that does not align with its architecture. Such cross-cutting concerns do not exist from the beginning but emerge over time. By analyzing where developers add code to a program, our history-based mining identifies cross-cutting concerns in a two-step process. First, we mine CVS archives for sets of methods where a call to a specific single method was added. In a second step, such simple cross-cutting concerns are combined to complex cross-cutting concerns. To compute these efficiently, we apply formal concept analysis.

Language Dependency:

As for as theory is concern aspect mining techniques is independent but when tool is associate in techniques are dependent on language.(Fint et al 2006) described the techniques the language is only based on Java. The work of A. Sampaio etal(2005) and in the process of Wmatric corpus analysis it was found that the aspect mining technique is only dependent on English neither French and Italian whose requirement written in these.

Availability:

In comparison of aspect mining techniques the availability of tools, results and cse study play a vital role for new researchers. It was noted form various papers that aspect mining techniques have an associated tool. In non-availability of associated tools which are not publically available the new user first tries to build a tool. The tool availability is also
important when the aspect mining techniques compared with new case study. Few tools are already available i.e. FINT for Fan-In, Dynamo for Execution and WMATRIX foe EA-Miner respectively. The developers of other aspect mining techniques can use them in comparison and evolution. The availability of case study is also very important in comparison of Aspect Mining techniques. Without unavailability of case study publically the comparison with other techniques are not possible.

**Evaluation Measure:**

Measures play an important role when we approach for comparing the aspect mining techniques. Only two statistical measures especially applicable (the number of false positives and the number false negatives). Evaluation measures are needed in process of comparison of aspect mining techniques. Kellen etal (2007 have proposed the six aspect mining techniques evaluation measures

**Conclusion:**

In this paper criteria for comparison aspect mining techniques are presented and it should be as under:

1. In the process of comparison aspect mining techniques the role of input data is quite important.
2. Aspect mining techniques is independent but when tool is associated in technical part the aspect mining techniques are dependable on language.
3. Evaluation measures are needed in process of comparison of aspect mining
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