#### TOPOLOGICAL CHARACTERIZATIONS OF QCADLS

1 Dr. A. LAKSHMANA

2 G. PRAKASAM BABU

1 Associate Professor in Mathematics and Principal SRI CHANKYA DEGREE COLLEGE, VISAKHAPATNAM, A. P. 2 Sr. Lecturer in Mathematics

S. V. R. K. GOVT. DEGREE COLLEGE FOR MEN, NIDADAVOLE-534301, A.P arnepallilakshmana@ gmail.com, prakash.g368@gmail.com

#### Abstract

We characterize a quasi-complemented in terms of topological terms and prove that if P is a prime ideal of I(L) (Q is a prime ideal of L), then  $C(P) = \bigcup \{J \in I(L)/J \in P\}$  is a prime ideal in L ( $\tau(Q) = \{J \in I(L)/J \subset Q\}$  is prime ideal in I(L). We prove that the necessary and sufficient conditions for an ADL in which every dense element is a maximal to become a quasi-complemented ADL in terms of  $\tau_h^M$ ,  $\tau_d^M$  and prove that L is a quasi-complemented ADL if and only if M is a compact in the hull-kernel topology. and derive a necessary and sufficient condition for an ADLs to become a quasi-complemented ADLs.

AMS Subject classification: 06D99, 06D15.

**Key words** : Almost Distributive Lattices(ADLs),  $\alpha$ -ideals, Annihilator Ideals in ADLs and quasi complemented ADLs.

### 1 Preliminaries

The concept of an Almost Distributive Lattice (ADL) was introduced by Swamy U M and Rao G C [4], as a common abstraction of existing lattice theoretic and ring theoretic generalization of Boolean algebra. The concept of quasi-complemented Almost Distributive Lattices was introduced in [2].

**Definition 1.1.** An algebra  $(L, \vee, \wedge, 0)$  of type (2, 2, 0) is called an Almost Distributive Lattice (ADL) if it satisfies the following axioms:

- $(1) (a \lor b) \land c = (a \land c) \lor (b \land c)$
- (2)  $a \wedge (b \vee c) = (a \wedge b) \vee (a \wedge c)$
- (3)  $(a \lor b) \land b = b$
- (4)  $(a \lor b) \land a = a$
- (5)  $a \lor (a \land b) = a$
- (6)  $0 \wedge a = 0$  for all  $a, b, c \in L$

In the following a partial order is defined on an ADL  $(L, \vee, \wedge, 0)$ .

**Definition 1.2.** Let L be an ADL and for any  $a, b \in L$ . Then we say that a is less than or equal to b and write  $a \le b$  if  $a \land b = a$  or equivalently  $a \lor b = b$ .

It can be observed that an ADL L satisfies almost all the properties of a distributive lattice except possibly the right distributivity of  $\vee$  over  $\wedge$ , commutativity of  $\vee$ , commutativity of  $\wedge$ . Any one of these properties make an ADL L, a distributive lattice.

**Definition 1.3.** Let  $(L, \vee, \wedge, 0)$  be an ADL. By an interval in L we mean the set  $[a, b] := \{x \in L \mid a \leq x \leq b\}$  for some  $a, b \in L$  with  $a \leq b$ . Every interval [a, b] in an ADL is a bounded distributive lattice. An ADL  $(L, \vee, \wedge, 0)$  is said to be relatively complemented if every interval [a, b],  $a \leq b$  in L is a Boolean algebra.

**Theorem 1.4.** Let L be an ADL and a, b,  $c \in L$ . Then we have the following

```
1. a \lor b = a \Leftrightarrow a \land b = b
```

2.  $a \lor b = b \Leftrightarrow a \land b = a$ 

3.  $a \wedge b = a \wedge b$ , whenever  $a \leq b$ 

4.  $\land$  is associate in L

5.  $a \wedge b \wedge c = b \wedge a \wedge c$ 

6.  $(a \lor b) \land c = (b \lor a) \land c$ 

7.  $a \wedge b = 0 \Leftrightarrow b \wedge a = 0$ 

8.  $a \wedge a = a$  and  $a \vee a = a$ 

A non-empty subset I of an ADL L is called an ideal (filter) of L if  $a \vee b \in I$  ( $a \wedge b \in I$ ) and  $a \wedge x \in I$  ( $x \vee a \in I$ ), for any  $a, b \in I$  and  $x \in L$ . If I is an ideal of L and  $a, b \in L$ , then  $a \wedge b \in I \Leftrightarrow b \wedge a \in I$ . The set I(L) of all ideals of L is a complete distributive lattice with the least element (0] and the greatest element L under set inclusion in which, for any  $I, J \in I(L), I \cap J$  is the infimum of I, J and the supremum is given by  $I \vee J = \{i \vee j/i \in I, j \in J\}$ . For any  $a \in L$ , (a] =  $\{a \wedge x/x \in L\}$  is the principal ideal generated by a. Similarly, [a) =  $\{x \vee a/x \in L\}$  is the principal filter generated by a. The set PI(L) of all principal ideals of L is sub lattice of I(L).

An element  $a \in L$  is called dense if  $(a]^* = (0]$  and set of all dense elements is denoted by D. Then D is filter, whenever D is non-empty. An ADL L with 0 is called a \*-ADL if to each  $x \in L$ , there exists  $y \in L$  such that  $[x]^{**} = [y]^*$ . An ADL L with 0 is a \*-ADL if and only if to each  $x \in L$ , there exists  $y \in L$  such that  $x \wedge y = 0$  and  $x \vee y \in D$ . Every \*-ADL possesses a dense element.

**Lemma 1.5.** Let L be an ADL with 0 and a,  $b \in L$ . Then we have the following

1.  $(a) \lor (b) = (a \lor b) = (b \lor a)$ 

2.  $(a] \cap (b] = (a \wedge b] = (b \wedge a]$ 

# 2 Topological Characterization of QCADLs

In this section, we characterize a quasi-complemented in terms of topological terms and prove that if P is a prime ideal of I(L) (Q is a prime ideal of L), then  $C(P) = \bigcup \{J \in I(L)/J \in P\}$  is a prime ideal in L ( $\tau(Q) = \{J \in I(L)/J \subset Q\}$  is prime ideal in I(L). We prove that the necessary and sufficient conditions for an ADL in which every dense element is a maximal to become a quasi-complemented ADL in terms of  $\tau_h^M$ ,  $\tau_d^M$  and prove that L is a quasi-complemented ADL if and only if M is a compact in the hull-kernel topology. We prove that in an ADL with a maximal element m in which every dense element is maximal, then L is a quasi-complemented ADL if and only if  $C(P) \in M$ , for each  $P \in \mathbf{m}(I(L))$  and moreover  $J^{**} \in A_0(L)$ , for each  $J \in I(L)$  if and only if L is a quasi-complemented ADL and for each  $Q \in M$ , there exists unique  $P \in \mathbf{m}(I(L))$  such that C(P) = Q. We derive the set of an equivalent conditions for an ADL in which every dense element is a maximal to become a quasi-complemented ADL in terms of annulets.

From the definitions of C(P) and  $\tau(Q)$ , we prove the following.

**Lemma 2.1.** Let L be an ADL with 0. If P is a prime ideal in I(L), C(P) is a prime ideal in L.

*Proof.* Let  $x, y \in C(P)$ . Then by definition of  $C(P), x \in I_1, y \in I_2$ , for some  $I_1, I_2 \in P$ . It follows that  $x \vee y \in I_1 \vee I_2$  and hence  $I_1 \vee I_2 \in P$ . Therefore  $x \vee y \in C(P)$ . Let  $x \in C(P)$  and  $y \in L$ . Then  $x \in I$  for some  $I \in P$ . It follows that  $x \wedge y \in I$  and hence  $x \wedge y \in C(P)$ . Thus C(P) is an ideal in L. Let  $x, y \in L$  such that  $x \notin C(P)$  and  $y \notin C(P)$ . Suppose  $x \wedge y \in C(P)$ . Then  $x \wedge y \in I$  for some  $I \in P$ . It follows that  $(x \wedge y) \subseteq I$  and  $(x \wedge y) \subseteq I$ 

```
\Rightarrow (x \land y] \in P
\Rightarrow (x] \land (y] \in P \quad (\text{ since } (x \land y] = (x] \land (y])
\Rightarrow (x] \in P \text{ or } (y] \in P \quad (\text{since P is a prime ideal})
\Rightarrow x \in C(P) \text{ or } y \in C(P) \quad (\text{since by definition of C(P)})
```

Which is a contradiction to  $x \notin C(P)$  and  $y \notin C(P)$ . Therefore  $x \land y \notin C(P)$ . Hence C(P) is a prime ideal in L.

**Lemma 2.2.** Let L be an ADL with 0. If Q is a prime ideal in L, then  $\tau(Q)$  is a prime ideal in I(L).

Proof. Suppose Q is a prime ideal in L. Let  $J_1,\ J_2 \in \tau(Q)$ . Then by definition of  $\tau(Q),\ J_1 \subseteq Q$   $J_2 \subseteq Q$ . It follows that  $J_1 \vee J_2 \subseteq Q$ . Hence  $J_1 \vee J_2 \subseteq \tau(Q)$ . Let  $J \in \tau(Q)$  and  $K \in I(L)$ . Then  $J \subseteq Q$  and hence  $J \cap K \subseteq Q$ . Therefore  $J \cap K \in \tau(Q)$ . Hence  $\tau(Q)$  is an ideal. Let  $J_1,\ J_2 \in I(L)$  such that  $J_1 \cap J_2 \in \tau(Q)$ . Then  $J_1 \cap J_2 \subseteq Q$ . It follows that  $J_1 \subseteq Q$  or  $J_2 \subseteq Q$  (since Q is a prime ideal in L). Therefore  $J_1 \subseteq \tau(Q)$  or  $J_2 \subseteq \tau(Q)$ . Hence  $\tau(Q)$  is a prime ideal in I(L).

Now, we prove the following.

**Lemma 2.3.** Let L be an ADL such that  $C(P) \in M$ , for each  $P \in m(I(L))$ . Then the mapping  $\phi : m(I(L)) \to M$  defined by  $\phi(P) = C(P)$ , for each  $P \in m(I(L))$  is an onto continues closed mapping.

Proof. Clearly  $\phi$  is well defined mapping. Let  $Q \in \mathbf{m}(I(L))$ . Then  $\tau(Q)$  is a prime ideal in I(L) and it contains a minimal prime ideal P of I(L). Now, we shall prove that C(P) = Q. Let  $x \in C(P)$ . Then  $x \in J$  for some  $J \in P$ . It follows that  $(x] \subseteq J$  and  $J \in P$ . Therefore  $(x] \in P$ , since P being an ideal in I(L). Thus  $(x] \in \tau(Q)$ , since  $P \subseteq \tau(Q)$ . Hence  $C(P) \subseteq Q$ . We have C(P) and Q are both minimal prime ideals in L, we get C(P) = Q. Therefore  $\phi$  is an onto mapping. Claim:  $\phi^{-1}(M_a) = M_I$ .

```
Now, Let a \in L. Then \phi^{-1}(M_a) = \{P \in \mathbf{m}(I(L)) / \phi(P) \in M_a\}

= \{P \in \mathbf{m}(I(L)) / C(P) \in M_a\}

= \{P \in \mathbf{m}(I(L)) / a \notin C(P)\}

= \{P \in \mathbf{m}(I(L)) / (a] \nsubseteq C(P)\}

= \{P \in \mathbf{m}(I(L)) / (a] \notin P\}

= M_{(a]}.
```

Thus the inverse image of a basic open set in M is again a open set in  $\mathbf{m}(I(L))$ . Hence  $\phi$  is a continuous map. The space  $\mathbf{m}(I(L))$  is a compact space and M is a Hausedorff space. Hence the mapping  $\phi$  being continuous, is a closed mapping.

We now derive the necessary and sufficient conditions for an ADL in every dense element is a maximal to become a quasi-complemented ADL.

**Theorem 2.4.** Let L be an ADL with maximal element m in which every dense element is maximal. Then L is quasi-complemented ADL if and only if for each  $x \in L$ , there exists  $y \in L$  such that  $M_x = h_M(y)$ .

Proof. Suppose L is quasi-complemented ADL. We have every quasi-complemented ADL is a \* ADL. Let  $x \in L$ . Then there exists  $y \in L$  such that  $[x]^* = [y]^{**}$ . Therefore  $h_M([x]^*) = h_M([y]^{**})$  and hence  $h_M([x]^*) = h_M(y)$ . Thus  $M_x = h_M(y)$ . Conversely suppose that for each  $x \in L$ , there exists  $y \in L$  such that  $M_x = h_M(y)$ . We shall prove that L is quasi-complemented ADL. Since  $M_x = h_M(y)$ ,  $h_M([x]^*) = h_M([y]^{**})$ . Hence we get  $[x]^* = [y]^{**}$ . Therefore  $x \wedge y = 0$  and  $x \vee y$  is dense. It follows that  $x \wedge y = 0$  and  $x \vee y$  is a maximal. Thus L is a quasi-complemented ADL.

**Theorem 2.5.** Let L be an ADL with maximal element m in which every dense element is maximal. Then L is a quasi-complemented ADL if and only if M is a compact in the hull-kernel topology.

*Proof.* Suppose L is quasi-complemented ADL. Then for each  $x \in L$  there exists  $y \in L$  such that  $M_x = h_M(y)$  and hence  $M_x$  is a basic closed set in M. Let  $\{M_x/x \in \Delta\}$  be a family of closed sets in M with finite intersection property for some  $\Delta \subseteq L$ . Let F be a filter in L generated by  $\Delta$ . Then for

any  $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n \in \Delta, \bigcap_{i=1}^n M_x \neq \phi$  and hence  $M_{\bigwedge_{i=1}^n x_i} \neq \phi$ . It follows that

 $\bigwedge_{i=1}^{n} x_i \neq 0.$  Therefore  $0 \notin F$  and hence F is a proper filter of L. It follows that F is contained in a maximal filter say K of L. Therefore L - K is minimal prime ideal of L. Let  $x \in \Delta$ . Then  $x \notin L - K$ . Therefore  $L - K \in M_x$ , for all  $x \in \Delta$ . Hence  $L - K \in \bigcap_{x \in \Delta} M_x$ , we get  $\bigcap_{x \in \Delta} M_x \neq \phi$ . Thus M is compact in hull-kernel topology.

Conversely suppose M is a compact in the hull-kernel topology on M and  $x \in L$ . Then  $h_M(x)$  being a closed subset of M, is a compact. If  $x \in P$ , then. Hence by Lemma 0.3.31,  $[x]^* \nsubseteq P$ . Thus  $h_M(x) \cap h_M([x]^*) = \phi$ . So that  $h_M(x) \cap \bigcap_{t \in [x]^*} h_M(t) = \phi$ . Now,  $\{h_M(x) \cap h_M(t)/t \in [x]^*\}$  is a class of closed sets in  $h_M(x)$  having empty intersection, there exists  $t_1$ ,  $t_2$ ,......,  $t_n \in [x]^*$  such that  $h_M(x) \cap h_M(t_1) \cap h_M(t_2) \cap \ldots \cap h_M(t_n) = \phi$ . Write  $x' = \int_{i=n}^{n} t_i$ , then  $h_M(x) \cap h_M(x') = \phi$ . It follows that  $M_x \cup M_{x'} = M$  and  $M_x \cap M_{x'} = M_{x \wedge x'} = M_0 = \phi$ . Therefore  $M_{x'} = h_M(x)$  and  $M_x = h_M(x')$ . Hence  $h_M([x]^{**}) = h_M(x) = M_{x'} = h_M([x']^*)$ . Hence by Lemma 0.3.46, we get  $[x]^{**} = [x']^*$ . It follows that  $x \wedge x' = 0$  and  $x \vee x'$  is dense. Thus  $x \wedge x' = 0$  and  $x \vee x'$  is a maximal and hence L is quasi-complemented ADL.

We immediately have the following from Theorems 2.4 and 2.5.

**Corollary 2.6.** Let L be an ADL with maximal element m in which every dense element is a maximal. Then the following are equivalent:

- (1) L is a quasi-complemented ADL
- $(2) \ \tau_h^M = \tau_d^M$
- (3) M is compact in hull-kernel topology.

Recall that for a prime ideal P of I(L),  $C(P) = \bigcup \{J \in I(L)/J \in P\}$  is a prime ideal in L and derive the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.7.** Let L be an ADL with maximal element m in which every dense element is maximal. Then L is a quasi-complemented ADL if and only if  $C(P) \in M$ , for each  $P \in m(I(L))$ .

Proof. Suppose L is a quasi-complemented ADL and  $P \in \mathbf{m}(I(L))$ . Clearly by Lemma 2.3, C(P) is a prime ideal in L. Let  $x \in C(P)$ . Then  $x \in J$ , for some  $J \in P$ . Therefore  $(x] \subseteq J$  and hence  $(x] \in P$ . Since L is a quasi-complemented ADL, there exists  $x' \in L$  such that  $x \wedge x' = 0$  and  $x \vee x'$  is a maximal. But,  $(x] \vee (x'] = (x \vee x'] = L$  and  $(x] \vee (x'] \notin P$ , since P is minimal prime ideal in I(L), it follows that  $(x'] \notin P$ . If  $x' \in C(P)$ , then  $x' \in J$  for some  $J \in P$ . Therefore  $(x'] \subseteq J$  and hence  $(x'] \in P$ , which is a contradiction. Hence  $x' \notin C(P)$ . Thus for each  $x \in C(P)$ , there exists  $x' \notin C(P)$  such that  $x \wedge x' = 0$ . Hence C(P) is a minimal prime ideal of L. Hence  $C(P) \in M$ .

Conversely assume the condition. We have the mapping  $\phi: \mathbf{m}(I(L)) \to M$  defined by  $\phi(P) = C(P)$ , for each  $P \in \mathbf{m}(I(L))$  is onto continues and closed. Therefore M is a compact. By Theorem 2.5, we get L is a quasi-complemented ADL.

We recall that the set  $A_0(L)$  of all annulets of an ADL L forms a distributive lattice under the binary operations  $\overline{\wedge}$  and  $\underline{\vee}$  defined by  $[x]^* \overline{\wedge} [y]^* = [x \vee y]^*$  and  $[x]^* \underline{\vee} [y]^* = [x \wedge y]^*$ , for any  $[x]^*$ ,  $[y]^* \in A_0(L)$ . Next, we characterize a quasi-complemented ADL in terms of annulets.

**Theorem 2.8.** Let L be an ADL with a maximal element m in which every dense element is a maximal. Then  $J^{**} \in A_0(L)$ , for each  $J \in I(L)$  if and only if L is a quasi-complemented ADL and for each  $Q \in M$ , there exists unique  $P \in m(I(L))$  such that C(P) = Q.

Proof. Suppose  $J^{**} \in A_0(L)$ , for each  $J \in I(L)$ . Let  $x \in L$ . Then we have  $[x]^{**} \in A_0(L)$ . It follows that, there exists  $x' \in L$  such that  $[x]^{**} = [x']^*$ . Hence  $x \wedge x' = 0$  and  $x \vee x'$  is a dense. Therefore by hypothesis,  $x \wedge x' = 0$  and  $x \vee x'$  is maximal. Thus L is a quasi-complemented ADL. Now, let  $Q \in M$  and  $P_1$ ,  $P_2 \in \mathbf{m}(I(L))$  such that  $C(P_1) = C(P_2) = Q$ . Let  $J \in P_1$ . Then  $J^* \in I(L)$  and  $J \cap J^* = (0]$ . Since  $P_1 \in \mathbf{m}(I(L))$ ,  $J \in P_1$ ,  $J^* \notin P_1$ , (by Lemma 0.3.31). Again, Since  $J^* \in I(L)$ ,  $J^* \in A_0(L)$ . Hence there exists  $y \in L$  such that  $J^* = (y]^*$ . Again, since  $P_1 \in \mathbf{m}(I(L))$ ,  $J \in P_1$  and  $J^* \cap J^{**} = \{0\} \in P_1$ ,  $J^{**} \in P_1$ . Therefore  $(y]^{**} \in P_1$ , and hence  $(y] \in P_1$ . It follows that  $y \in C(P_1) = C(P_2)$  and hence  $(y] \in P_2$ . Therefore  $[y]^* \notin P_2$ , since  $P_2$  is a minimal prime ideal. Hence  $J^* \notin P_2$ . Therefore  $J \in P_2$  and hence  $P_1 \subseteq P_2$ . Hence  $P_1 = P_2$ , since  $P_1$ ,  $P_2$  are minimal prime ideals. Therefore for each  $Q \in M$ , there exists unique  $P \in \mathbf{m}(I(L))$  such that C(P) = Q.

Conversely, suppose L is a quasi-complemented ADL and for each  $Q \in M$ , there exists unique  $P \in \mathbf{m}(I(L))$  such that C(P) = Q. If  $C(P_1) = C(P_2)$ , then  $P_1 = P_2$ , for  $P_1$ ,  $P_2 \in \mathbf{m}(I(L))$ . Then there exists a mapping  $\phi: \mathbf{m}(I(L)) \to M$  defined by  $\phi(P) = C(P)$  is a homeomorphism. Let  $J \in I(L)$ . Then  $h_M(J^*)$  is both open and closed sets in M. Hence  $M - h_M(J^*)$  is compact(being closed subset of compact space M is compact). Therefore  $M - h_M(J^*) = \bigcup_{i=1}^n M_{a_i} = M_{\bigcap_{i=1}^n a_i}$ . Now, put  $y = \bigvee_{i=1}^n a_i$ . Then  $M - h_M(J^*) = M_{\bigcup_{i=1}^n a_i} = M_{\bigcup_{i=1}^n a_i}$ . Hence  $h_M(J^*) = h_M([y]^{**})$ . It follows that  $J^{**} \in A_0(L)$ .

We conclude this section with the following.

**Corollary 2.9.** Let L be an ADL with maximal element m. Then the following are equivalent:

- (1) M is compact, Hausdorff and extremally disconnected space.
- (2) The space M and m(I(L)) are homeomorphic.
- $(3)J^{**} \in A_0(L)$ , for each  $J \in I(L)$

Further any of the above conditions implies that L is a quasi-complemented ADL.

## References

- [1] Birkhoff , G. : Lattice Theory ,  $\it Amer.\ Math.\ Soc.\ Colloq.\ Publ.\ XXV$  ,  $\it Providence\ (1967)$  , U.S.A.
- [2] Cornish, W. H.: Quasi-complemented lattice, Comment. Math. Uni. Carolinae, 15 (1974), 501-511.
- [3] Rao G.C. , Nanaji Rao G. , Lakshmana A : Quasi-complemented Almost Distributive Lattices, *Southest Asian Bulletin of Mathematics* ,Vol.No.39 (SEAMS-2015)
- [4] Stone, M. H.: Topological representation and brouwvrian logics, Casopis. Pst. Mat. Fyz., 67(1937), 1-25.
- [5] Swamy U.M., Rao G.C.: Almost Distributive Lattices , Aust.Math.soc ,  $Series\ A$  , 31 (1981) , 77-91.