

# Role of Employee Relationship Management (ERM) for gaining Competitive Advantage in Indian Banking Sector: A Comparative Study between SBI and ICICI Bank

<sup>1</sup>**Girish Prasad Das**, Research Scholar, Dept. of Business Administration, Berhampur University, Bhanja Bihar, Berhampur, Odisha. E-mail: girishprasadphd@gmail.com

<sup>2</sup>**Dr. N.R. Misra**, Reader, Dept. of Business Administration, Berhampur University, Bhanja Bihar, Berhampur, Odisha.

Corresponding author-

<sup>3</sup>**Narayana Maharana**, Research Scholar, Dept. of Business Administration, Berhampur University, Bhanja Bihar, Berhampur. Email: [maharana.narayan@gmail.com](mailto:maharana.narayan@gmail.com), Phone: 9493482084.

## Abstract:

Increased competition and globalisation in the banking sector necessitated the commercial banks to develop strategies in order to improve performance. This study sought to investigate the relationship between employee relations strategies and the customer choice of the particular bank based on the employees of the bank. It is intended to focus on the customer retention aspect of competitive advantage across three major banks in India in two different sectors like the public, and private. The study incorporated SBI in the Public sector bank and ICICI in the private sector Bank category. A questionnaire is prepared to find out the Employee relationship management practices in the bank and its impact on the customers. Though the impact of ERM is not experienced prominently by a layman or a customer, with a different questionnaire it is attempted to find out the customer's preference among the two different banks taken in this study and is compared with the scoring of ERM in the respective banks for gaining competitive advantage. As different studies claimed a positive relationship between ERM practices, Employee satisfaction, Employee retention and Employee Performance that in turn results in CRM and customer retention. T-test is used to compare the two banks for their ERM strategies. The finding of the study reveals that there is a significant difference exist between the ERM Strategies adopted by the two banks. Besides, it is also been found that the ERM practices reflected significantly in gaining competitive advantage.

**Keywords:** Competitive Advantage, Employee Relationship Management, Bank, Employee Retention.

## 1. Introduction

For most companies, employee relationship management highly essential for attracting and retaining employees. Its primary goals are to empower managers to establish and fruitful productive relationships with employees and to measure and quantify the results of those relationships in order to ensure whether the organisational objectives are being met.

Employees are the valuable assets of an organization. They are most important audiences with the potential to be its most effective envoys. It is essential that the employees perform together to contribute equally towards the realization of a common organisational goal. Employees show a certain relationship with their colleagues and co-workers at the workplace. The relationship which the employees have between co-employees, supervisors, managers and higher management should be a healthy relationship to best performances.

Employees are the focal point of an organization in the journey towards success. If the employees work together and maintain a good relationship with management then the organization can achieve its objectives much faster. Management of the employment relationship is both vital and valuable for the organization in the attainment of the competitive advantage. It is necessary to have a strong relationship among employees as well as with the management since it leads to healthier organizational productivity and performance.

Employee relationship management (ERM) refers to the development of the relationship between the organizational management and the employees. There are several issues in ERM which can affect employee satisfaction as well as influences the productivity and overall corporate culture. It also refers to the relation between the different employees of an organization. The relationship can be between employee and the management as well as between employees at the same organisational hierarchy. It is nothing but a technique which binds the employees and the management together on a common ground and leads them towards the organizational goal. In a layman's language, ERM deals with managing interaction between employees and managed efficiently so that the jobs can be accomplished smoothly. The human resource department basically plays a vital role in this process, both in terms of training and coaching the workers and employees as well as management personnel on how to effectively and efficiently establish and cherish employee relationships. Relationship implies feelings that can be positive/friendly or negative/unfriendly. A relationship always exists between the employees who work together. There is no neutral point. Indifference is not neutral. Relationship influences employee behaviours at work. Expectations, perceptions, intentions, distributions of assignments, readiness to confirm or to rebel, and enthusiasm etc., are the outcomes of the employee relationships. Attitude and motivation influences, and is influenced by the nature of the relationship.

## 2. Review of Literature

Employee relation refers to the relationship between the employees and management in regard to the establishment of conditions of employment. In the recent past, 'industrial relations' is the term used to describe employee relationship. Nevertheless, this has been replaced by a broader concept called 'employee relations'. Even though the two areas are more or less similar, industrial relations generally refers to the resolution of a conflict between the management and employees, whereas employee relations integrates all the issues relating to the employee-management relationship in the workplace which also includes recruitment, training and development, equal opportunity and framing organizational structure. It creates a work environment which satisfies the needs of both the individual

employees and the management by improving employee morale and building organizational culture.

From the employee's perspective, an employee is an asset rather than a cost. An effective employee relation involves producing and nurturing a self-motivated and productive workforce. Employees should be motivated from within, since the management help to nurture the type of environment where employees flourish, enabling the organization to achieve competitive advantage.

The organization through effective ERM practices are exploring new ways to improve competitiveness and profitability. The focal point of this exercise lies in the effectiveness and efficiency of its employees. The organization trying to improve performance uses its employee relations processes to inspire an effective team of employees to add value to all areas of its organizational performance, and emphasis on using specific strategies to retain, reward and motivate efficient and skilled employees. There are four different strategies practised by the organisations to achieve effective ERM, these are the employee relation strategy, employee voice, management capability and internal communication. A successful employee relation begins with the organization's leadership, but lives and breathes throughout the fabric of the organization. Management capability ensures that employees should be motivated on a day-to-day basis by their immediate line manager. Frontline managers need to be fortified to manage employees equitably, effectively, and consistently in line with the organization's expectations and requirements.

Human resources are a prime organizational asset if properly used and managed. The application of appropriate strategies for the development of human resources can lead to the optimization of corporate performance both in the short and long term. Tyson (1995) as quoted in Torrington et al. (2005) stressed human resource management strategy as the intentions of the corporation both explicit and covert, towards managing the employees, reflected through philosophies, policies and practices. Effective human capital strategy and practices are directly related to greater levels of financial and market success. Strategic human resource management has three theoretical approaches (Torrington et al, 2005; Armstrong, 2009). "The first is founded on the concept that there is one best way of managing the human resource in order to improve business performance. The second is based on the need to align employment policies and practices with the requirements of the business strategy in order that the later will be achieved and the business will be successful. The third is derived from the resource-based view of the firm and the perceived value of the human capital". This approach is grounded in the nature of the reward-effort exchange and, more precisely, the degree to which managers perceive their human resources as an asset as contrasting to a variable cost. Again, the literature on the HRM-performance relationship raised by Boselie et al. (2005), initiate that the theoretical frameworks which lead this field are the resource-based view (i.e., "HRM influences performance according to the human and social capital held by the organization") (Barney, 1991), the AMO theory (i.e., "HRM influences performance in relation to employees' Ability, Motivation and Opportunity to participate") (Appelbaum, Bailey et al., 2000; Katou, 2008) and the "contingent framework" (i.e., HRM influences performance in relation to contingent factors such as business strategies).

Basically, people try to maximize their benefits and minimize their costs while exchanging resources with others (Molm, 2001). People involved in an interaction with the expectation of reciprocity (Gouldner, 1960). These benefits include things such as material or financial gains, social status, and emotional comforts. Costs generally comprise of sacrifices of time, money, or lost opportunities.

Social exchange theory is used as a tool for predicting the effects of management practice on employee attitudes. Stafford (2008), posited that social exchanges involve a connection with another person, trust; they are flexible, and rarely involve open bargaining. Positive social exchanges causes mutual benefits to both the organization and the workforce.

Flynn (2005) said employees with relational identity orientation choose reciprocal exchange, i.e. these employees may reciprocate an organization when they are in an interdependent task setting. Organizations assume high-commitment to HR practices making employees perceive organizational support and feel that they are imperative in the interdependent organization. As per the social identity theory, employees who feel they are valued by their organization perceive high status in the organization (Fuller et al., 2003). On the other hand, Employees who experience mutual reciprocity of resources, information, respect and power with management perceive higher autonomy therefore, they would be more satisfied with the resources, information and support offered by the supervisor and they would be committed to the organization and perform well.

Various studies have defined performance management in different ways, such as, Armstrong (2006) defines performance management as a “systematic process for improving organizational performance by developing the performance of individuals and teams”. According to Bohlander and Snell (2007) “organizational performance comprises the actual output or results of an organization measured against its intended outputs (organizational goals and objectives)”. It is a process which contributes to the effective management of individuals and teams in order to reach high levels of organizational performance. It creates an understanding of what is to be achieved and an approach to developing people which ensures the goals to be achieved.

To handle the growing uncertainty and competitiveness what is necessary is performing employees to create a performing organization (Pattanayak, 2008). Efforts have been made by different researchers to establish the causal relationship between human resource management and performance (Cook, 2000). Managers should build a targeted employee performance training plan that will establish the unique development needs of team members and make them accountable for their performance. Performance management and governance is, therefore, an important feature of modern organizational management (Armstrong, 2006). It aids an organization to constantly monitor and improve its performance in order to attain its strategic goals (Dessler, 2005). It is also observed that a company emphasizes performance when a considerable portion of its employees pay, is tied to individual or group contributions and the amount received can vary meaningfully from one person or group to another (Gomez-Meija et al, 2008). There is a synergetic relationship between human resources strategy and performance in the manufacturing sector (Cook, 2000; Barney, 2000; Paterson et al, 2006). However, we may not categorically generalize this to the banking sector due to the fragility of banking. HRM strategies can improve productivity by increasing employee skills and abilities; through promoting positive attitudes and motivation, and by providing employees with responsibilities in order to use of their skills and abilities to the fullest extent possible. The purpose of human resource strategy is to develop ways of managing people to utilise them in the achievement of organizational goals.

Mahoney and Watson (1993) posited that employee involvement has a favourable impact on the performance of any organisation. The word employee relation has been used synonymously with industrial relations and employment relations. According to Lewis et al., (2003) “Industrial Relations is associated with the declining “Smokestack” industries and blue-collar workers and the accompanying emphasis upon Collective Bargaining between employers and Trade Unions.” Employee relations offers a wider employment opus giving

equal significance to non-union employment and white collar jobs. It is concerned with the socio-economic relationship that forms a contract between the parties to perform in return for employment benefits like remuneration (Perkins & Shortland, 2006).

The employee relationship management is a social, economic and political relationship in which employees provide physical and mental labour in exchange for rewards in the form of remuneration by employers (Lewis, et al., 2003). Over the last three decades, increased global competition made the organizations emphasise labour efficiency and cost control (Perkins & Shortland, 2006). This necessitated effective employee relationship strategies that allow the employees to put their best on the achievement of organizational goals.

Lewis et al, 2003) pointed out that the employee relations exhibit the presence of a psychological bond that is different from any other relationships. There exists a non-formalized kind of psychological contract which is based on what each party expects from the other and is different from the normal written and legal expectations of the parties from each other. Perkins and Shortland (2006) argue that the socio-political relations of employment are not inert therefore it is essential to consider the impending of the bipartite and/or tripartite employment systems to determine the employment relations outcomes.

Pearce and Robinson (2009) argue that firms keenly look for good employee relationship even though they are bound by union contracts. The primary duty of the strategic managers is the anticipation of employee needs and expectations. Organizations should endeavour to content their employees with good pay, good supervision and good stimulating work. Customer satisfaction is associated with employee satisfaction. It is believed that productivity is linked to employee loyalty and employee welfare.

Harzing and Ruysseveldt (2004) emphasised on efficient labour management relationships because it's a key to make the firm to improve and innovate. According to Lewis et al. (2003) involvement of employees directly in the decision-making process makes them go beyond their immediate work tasks. Moreover, employee involvement is based on the fact that involvement in goal setting has is related to the acceptance and subsequent commitment of the employees to the established goals, leading to increased performance and development. (Harzing & Ruysseveldt, 2004).

Lewis et al. (2003) study a managerial policy where employers and employees share goals and the means to realize them. They found that employee's commitment resulted in both better economic performance and superior human development. They suggested that Managers should give employees a responsible autonomy. Giving employees an opportunity to have control over their own work conditions in such a manner that benefits the organization as a whole. Managers give employees status, authority and responsibility. This is based on McGregor theory Y which purposes that employees are responsible and self-motivated people who seek responsibility and are resourceful. This helps to win employees trustworthiness towards management and tries to get along employees to the organizational goals. Responsible autonomy strategy is highly necessary to achieve greater productivity. They also emphasized communication in the organisation which withholds the employee and employer relationship directs the organisation and the employees in the path of organisational vision and goal. Communication of organisational vision and goal increases employee morale and accountability. In addition to this Ivancevich et al (1997) says that the higher level management plays an important role in communicating and sharing the strategy with the employees and other stakeholders. Effective communication ensures that people have the right information they need and is the base for any relationship to prosper. Honesty and open-mindedness with employees are especially imperative while dealing with serious concerns



outside of the office. Harzing and Ruysseveldt (2004) also stressed the role of communication of tasks to be performed should be done with extensive employee involvement. As a leader one has to lower any stress they might be feeling by communicating openly. Effective communication is undeniably essential to the successful integration of employee by ensuring employee engagement. Performance expectations, if not properly communicated, are far more difficult to re-work after the fact. Management's openness and acceptance of the employees' feedback, ideas, inputs and suggestions are the foundation of good communications and resilient employee relationships. "Everybody wins when they are all part of a supportive team" goes with the slogan "Saabka Saath... Saabka Vikaas..." by our honourable Prime Minister Narendra Modi.

### 3. Objectives

Following are the main objectives of the study

1. To understand the role of ERM strategy in the banking industry.
2. To study how ERM strategy is helpful in gaining a competitive advantage in the banking sector.
3. To find out how ERM strategies differ from bank to bank.
4. To explore the role of demographic characteristics of employees on the employee relationship management in banks

### 4. Hypothesis

Following are the null hypothesis of the study

- H<sub>01</sub>:** There is no significant difference between the ERM strategies of the two type of banks taken in the study.
- H<sub>02</sub>:** There is no significant difference between the competitive advantages of the two banks.
- H<sub>03</sub>:** There is no significant impact of the ERM Practices on the Competitive Advantage of the Banks.

### 5. Methodology

The study is empirical in nature. The rationale behind choosing SBI and ICICI bank is based on the Market Capitalization. The average market capitalization of SBI for last one year is 296.30 whereas ICICI bank has 278.38 with close proximity (ref. Table-1). Here both the bank are of equally popular but belong to different categories i.e. one is a public sector bank and the other one is a private sector bank. Hence, the comparison between these two banks seems justified in investigating the Employee Relationship management practices. There are two parts in this study one based on the employees of the bank and their view about the ERM strategies adopted by the bank and the other part based on the customers view about opting for the bank service based on the ERM practices of the particular bank. A closed-ended questionnaire is prepared for collecting data from the employees of the bank and another closed-ended questionnaire is prepared in Google form to collect data from those of customers. Clustered Random sampling technique is used to select samples for the study. A total of 234 employees of both the banks were interviewed and the data is analysed using z-test, and ANOVA. On the other hand, a total of 558 number of customer responses were contacted regarding their view about the bank's competitive advantage based on customer service, product leadership and price or cost advantage by asking different questions. The data so obtained is analysed using SPSS software.

## 6. Data Analysis and Interpretation

**Table-1: Market Capitalization of private and public sector banks as on 25-11-2017**

Company Name	52 week High	52 week Low	Average	Company Name	52 week High	52 week Low	Average
HDFC Bank	1,876.95	1,193.45	1,535.20	<b>SBI</b>	<b>351.5</b>	<b>241.1</b>	<b>296.30</b>
<b>ICICI Bank</b>	<b>332.3</b>	<b>224.45</b>	<b>278.38</b>	Bank of Baroda	206.6	133.6	170.10
Kotak Mahindra	1,114.35	710.9	912.63	PNB	231.6	114.6	173.10
Axis Bank	564.6	447.8	506.20	Bank of India	216.7	102.6	159.65
IndusInd Bank	1,818.00	1,066.25	1,442.13	Canara Bank	463.4	250.17	356.79
Yes Bank	1,884.85	296	1,090.43	Indian Bank	428	196.2	312.10
Federal Bank	127.75	61.85	94.80	IDBI Bank	86.5	50.25	68.38
RBL Bank	600	325.65	462.83	Central Bank	125	70.6	97.80
IDFC Bank	71.95	52.7	62.33	Union Bank	205	123.8	164.40
City Union Bank	182.62	115.76	149.19	Syndicate Bank	95.35	61.2	78.28
Karur Vysya	150.19	75.61	112.90	Vijaya Bank	97.4	42.9	70.15
DCB Bank	213	102.5	157.75	IOB	32.25	21.5	26.88
South Ind Bank	33.3	18.1	25.70	Allahabad Bank	92.5	59.4	75.95
Karnataka Bank	181.15	101	141.08	UCO Bank	44.8	28.5	36.65
JK Bank	95.65	54.6	75.13	Andhra Bank	76.1	47.4	61.75
Lakshmi Vilas	209.8	133.4	171.60	Corporation Bk	64.7	38.05	51.38
Dhanlaxmi Bank	44.7	22	33.35	Oriental Bank	190.8	105.55	148.18
R ETF Gold BeES	2,741.95	2,500.05	2,621.00	Bank of Maharashtra	40.7	24.5	32.60
StanChart IDR	71.9	51.9	61.90	Dena Bank	50	24.3	37.15

Source: Moneycontrol.com

## 7. Findings

**Table-2: Demographics of the bank employees**

Demographics	Category	No of Respondents	Percentage
Gender	Male	130	55.60
	Female	104	44.40
	<b>Total</b>	<b>234</b>	<b>100.00</b>
Age group	up to 30	71	30.30
	31-40	63	26.90
	41-50	52	22.20
	51-60	48	20.50
	<b>Total</b>	<b>234</b>	<b>100.00</b>
Designation	High level	55	23.50
	Middle level	90	38.50
	Lower level	89	38.00
	<b>Total</b>	<b>234</b>	<b>100.00</b>
Bank Name	ICICI	119	50.90
	SBI	115	49.10
	<b>Total</b>	<b>234</b>	<b>100.00</b>

The above table depicts the demographics of the bank employees. It is evident that there are a total of 56% male employees compared to 44% female employees out of 234 numbers of total employees contacted. As per the age group categorisation, there are 43% employees are of the age group above 40 years whereas, around 30% employees are below 30 years group or the youngsters. 23% of employees belong to the high-level category in the employee hierarchy, 39% belong to middle and 38% belongs to the lower level category. There are a total of 51% ICICI employees and 49% of SBI employees are taken for the study.

**Table-3: Demographics of the Bank Customers**

Demographics	Category	No of Respondents	Percentage
Gender	Male	306	54.80
	Female	252	45.20
	<b>Total</b>	<b>558</b>	<b>100.00</b>
Age group	Below 20	154	27.60
	21 to 40	208	37.30
	41 and Above	196	35.10
	<b>Total</b>	<b>558</b>	<b>100.00</b>
Account type	SB	185	33.20
	CA	196	35.10
	Both SB & CA	177	31.70
	<b>Total</b>	<b>558</b>	<b>100.00</b>
Bank Name	SBI	357	63.97
	ICICI	201	36.02
	<b>Total</b>	<b>558</b>	<b>100.00</b>

The above table portrays the demographic characteristics of the bank customers. There are a total of 55% male customers compared to 45% of female customers out of 558



number of total customers selected for the study. Around 35% of customers fall under the age group of more than 41 years compared to 28% customers below 20 years of age. 37% of customers belong 21 to 40 years. 33% of customers are found to have a savings bank account compared to 35% having a current account only and 32% customers having both savings and current account. It is also evident that a total of 64% are SBI customers and 36% are ICICI bank customers.

	Bank Name	N	Mean	Std. Deviation	Std. Error
					Mean
ERM	ICICI	119	3.1664	.55732	.05109
	SBI	115	3.0136	.39735	.03705

The above table represents the group statistics of the responses of the bank employees towards employee relationship management practices collected through a set of eight questions. It is observed that the mean of the responses of ERM of SBI is 3.013 whereas, the mean of the responses of ICICI bank employees is 3.166. The mean difference is found to be 0.152 which is very small. This small difference between the employee relationship management strategies is a representation of the competitive environment that exists in the market.

The Table-4 represents the t-test to find out whether the existing mean difference between the responses of the two employees regarding the employee relationship management practices in the respective banks are significant or not. The two-tailed p-value 0.016 which is less than the standard 0.05 value (5% level of significance) allows us to reject the null hypothesis saying there is no significant difference between ERM practices of the two banks.

		Levene's Test for Equality of Variances		t-test for Equality of Means						
		F	Sig.	t	df	Sig. (2-tailed)	Mean Difference	Std. Error Difference	95% Confidence Interval of the Difference	
									Lower	Upper
ERM	Equal variances assumed	11.462	.001	2.408	232	.017	.15282	.06347	.02778	.27786
	Equal variances not assumed			2.421	213.61	.016	.15282	.06311	.02842	.27722

The Table-5 represents the group statistics of the responses of the bank customers towards competitive advantages of the banks collected through a set of three parameters like customer services, Product leadership, and cost/price advantages related questions. It is observed that the mean of the responses of customer services, product leadership and price advantages of SBI is 2.89, 2.82, and 2.79 respectively, and of ICIC bank is 3.15, 3.06 and 3.13 respectively.

The Table-6 represents the t-test to find out whether the existing mean difference between the responses of the two bank customers regarding the competitive advantage in customer service is found to be 0.007 (less than p-value at 1% confidence level, i.e. 0.01), for product leadership is 0.020 (less than p-value at 5% confidence level, i.e. 0.05) and cost or price advantage is 0.001 (less than p-value at 1% confidence level, i.e. 0.01) makes us reject the null hypothesis saying there is no significant difference between the competitive advantage parameters of the two banks.

	Bank Name	N	Mean	Std. Deviation	Std. Error Mean
Customer Service	ICICI	357	3.1595	1.09599	.05801
	SBI	201	2.8993	1.09545	.07727
Product Leadership	ICICI	357	3.0624	1.09644	.05803
	SBI	201	2.8297	1.19064	.08398
Cost/Price Advantage	ICICI	357	3.1301	1.10964	.05873
	SBI	201	2.7913	1.17996	.08323

		Levene's Test for Equality of Variances		t-test for Equality of Means						
		F	Sig.	t	df	Sig. (2-tailed)	Mean Difference	Std. Error Difference	95% Confidence Interval of the Difference	
									Lower	Upper
Customer Service	Equal variances assumed	.080	.778	2.693	556	.007*	.26027	.09663	.07046	.45008
	Equal variances not assumed			2.694	414.92	.007*	.26027	.09662	.07035	.45019
Product Leadership	Equal variances assumed	4.914	.027	2.333	556	.020**	.23273	.09976	.03679	.42867
	Equal variances not assumed			2.280	387.01	.023**	.23273	.10208	.03203	.43343
Cost/Price Advantage	Equal variances assumed	2.710	.100	3.383	556	.001*	.33874	.10013	.14207	.53541
	Equal variances not assumed			3.325	393.88	.001*	.33874	.10186	.13848	.53900

\*p- value significant at 1% level, \*\*p-value significant at 5% level.

The above interpretation of the ERM practices and the Competitive advantages gained by the respective banks in the form of customer services, product leadership and cost

advantages reflects the slightest difference in the ERM practices i.e. 0.152 gives a greater difference (0.26 to 0.33) in the means of the Competitive advantages of the banks. Therefore it can be inferred that there is a strong relationship between the ERM practices of the Banks and their competitive advantages in the market. When we look up to the market capitalization we can see the average market capitalisation of SBI is 296.30 which is higher compared to the average market capitalisation of ICICI bank 278.38. even though the difference exists in the market capitalisation ICICI bank being a private sector bank giving a strong competition to the SBI which is one of the oldest public sector bank having more number of branches, ATMs, customers etc. we can say that it is only due to employee relationship management practices, and the competitive advantages gained over time made ICICI bank a leading and strong competitor to the SBI.

## 8. Conclusion

Nowadays employee relations is practised in the majority of the organisations. Organisations recently are understanding the role of employees in improving business performance, customer satisfaction and Banking industries are of no exception. To gain a competitive advantage and to ensure customer retention and loyalty an organisation has to first focus on the employees and their satisfaction through employee relationship management strategy. We have seen from this study that a small variance in the employee relationship management reflected in a large variation in the competitive advantage. This study also explained how the business performance is improved by attaining the desired level of competitive advantage only thorough employee relationship management. It is comparatively easy to manage employee relationship management compared to customer relationship management. Therefore, organisations should focus more on improving and enhancing their relationship with the employees and staff for attaining the organisational objecting and goal.

## 9. Limitations and Scope

Following are the limitations of the study

- The first limitation of the study is that the survey is conducted in Odisha and limited to some randomly chosen branches of SBI and ICICI bank.
- Secondly, only two banks are chosen from a private and public sector, foreign banks are excluded.
- The third limitation is we have taken banks according to the market capitalization and the net profit, return on equity and sundry creditors are ignored.
- The comparison is done on the basis of the employee's point of view and that of the customer's preference for banking service with respect to the ERM practices. This can also be done by taking the involvement of the customers in ERM as some recent researches claim that ERM is a new concept in CRM and customer retention.

The same study can be extended to other banks to understand the different methods of employee relationship management practices and its impact on other parameters like banks performance, corporate governance, NPA Management etc. as the study incorporated only around 120 bank employees from the banks located in the major cities of the state of Odisha it has its own limitations which can be minimised if banks located in the major cities of other states are also taken and compared among themselves.

## References

- [1]. Abdullah, Z., Ahsan, N. & Alam, S.S. (2009). *The Effect of Human Resource Management Practices on Business Performance among Private Companies in Malaysia*, *International Journal of Business and Management*, 4(6) 65-72.
- [2]. Adegoroye, A. A., Oladejo, M., & Moruf, A. (2012). *Strategic Human Resources Management practices in the Post Consolidated Nigerian commercial banks*. *European Journal of Business and Management*, 35(2), 147-165.
- [3]. Ahmad, S., & Schroeder, R. G. (2003). *The Impact of Human Resource Management Practices on Operational Performance: Recognizing Country and Industry Differences*. *Journal of Operations Management*, 21(1), 19-43.
- [4]. Allen, N.J., & Meyer, J.P. (2003). *The Measurement and Antecedents of Organizational Commitment: Re-examination of the Affective and Continuance Commitment Scales*. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 72(3), 638-642.
- [5]. Appelbaum, B., Berg, K., & Kalleberg, G. (2000). *New Development of Organizational Commitment: A Critical Review (1960-2009)*. *African Journal of Business Management*, 4(1), 12-20.
- [6]. Armstrong, M. (2005). *A Handbook of Human Resource Management*. (7th Ed.). London: Kogan Page Ltd.
- [7]. Armstrong, M. (2006). *Human Resource Management Practice*. (10 th Ed.). London: Kogan Page Limited.
- [8]. Armstrong, M. (2010). *Strategic Human Resource Management*. (1st Ed.). London: Kogan Page Limited.
- [9]. Armstrong, G. (2005). *Differentiation through people—How can HR move beyond business partner*.
- [10]. In M. Losey, S. Mescsinger, & D. Ulrich (Eds.), *The future of human resource management* (86-92). Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley.
- [11]. Armstrong, M., & Baron, A. (2004). *Managing Performance: Performance Management in Action*. London: CIPD.
- [12]. Aryee, J., Fisher, E., & Reuber, K. (2003). *Support for rapid-growth firms: a comparison of the views of founders, government policymakers, and private sector resource providers*. *Journal of Small Business Management*, 41 (4), 346-365.
- [13]. Barney, J.B. (1991). *Firm resources and sustained competitive advantage*. *Journal of Management*, 17(1), 99-120.
- [14]. Barney, J.B., & Hesterly, W.S. (2008). *Strategic Management and Competitive advantage- and Concepts* (2 Ed.). New Dehli: Prentice-Hall.
- [15]. Bohlander, G., & Snell, S. (2007). *Managing Human Resources* (13th Ed.). London: Academic Internet Publishers (AIPI).
- [16]. Boselie P., Dietz, G., & Boon C. (2005). *Commonalities and contradictions in HRM and performance research*. *Human Resource Management Journal*, 15(3), 67-97.
- [17]. Cappelli, P., & Neumark, D. (2001). *Do “high performance” work practices improve establishment-level outcomes?* *Industrial and Labor Relations Review*, 54(4), 737-775.
- [18]. Central Bank of Kenya (2005-2014). *Bank Supervision Annual Report*, Central Bank of Kenya, Nairobi.
- [19]. Chaudhary, G., & Sharma, L. (2012). *The link between HRM practices and organizational performance: evidence from retail banking*. *Industrial and labour relations review*, 57, (2), 12-23.
- [20]. Chaudhry, M.S., Sohail, F., & Riaz, N. (2013). *Impact of Employee Relation on Employee Performance in Hospitality Industry of Pakistan*. *Entrepreneurship and Innovation Management Journal*, 1(1), 3456.

- [21]. Chenevert, D., & Trembley, M. (2009). *Fits In Strategic Human Resources Management And Methodological Challenges. Empirical Evidence and Influence of Empowerment and Compensation Practices on Human Resource Performance in Canadian Firms. The International Journal of Human Resource Management*, 20 (4) 738-770
- [22]. Cook, L. F. (2000). *Human Resources Strategy to improve organizational performance: A case of British firms*, 9(3), 171-197.
- [23]. Cooper, D.R., & Schindler, P.S. (2006). *Business Research Methods*, (8th Ed.). New York: McGraw-Hill International Publishers.
- [24]. Cowling, M. (2009). *The Essence of Successful Personnel Management & Industrial Relations*, Prentice, Hall International, Hertford, CT
- [25]. Dessler, G. (2005). *Human Resource Management*, (10th Ed.). New Jersey: Prentice – Hall Inc.
- [26]. Flynn, F. J. (2003). *How much should I give and how often? The effects of generosity and frequency of favour of exchange on social status and productivity. Academy of Management Journal*, 46(2), 539- 553.
- [27]. Fuller, J., Brown, L., Katou, M., & Dealny, J. (2003). *Compensation Policy and Organizational Performance: The Efficiency, Operational, and Financial Implications of Pay Levels and Pay Structure*, *Academy of Management Journal*, 46(6), 752–762.
- [28]. Gomez-Meija, L.R., Balkin, D.B., & Cardy, L.R. (2010). *Managing Human Resources*, (6th Ed.). London: Pearsons Publishers.
- [29]. Gouldner, N. (1960). *The entrepreneur and his firm: the relationship between the type of man and type of company. Academy of Management Journal* 28 (4), 799-820. *Academy of Management Journal*, 25 (2), 99-110.
- [30]. Gould-Williams, J. S. (2003). *The Importance of HR Practices and Workplace Trust in Achieving Superior Performance: A Study of Public-sector Organizations*, *International Journal of Human Resource Management*, 14 (1), 1– 27.
- [31]. Guest, D.E., & Conway, N. (2005), *Well-being and the Psychological Contract*, CIPD, London.
- [32]. Hartel, C., Fujimoto, I., Strybosch, V.E., & Fitzpatrick, K. (2007). *Human Resource Management: Transforming Theory into Innovative Practice*. Pearson Education: Australia.
- [33]. Harter, J., Schmidt, T., & Hayes, L. (2000). *Top level management priorities in different stages of the organization life cycle. Academy of Management Journal*, 28 (4), 799- 820.
- [34]. Harzing, A., & Ruysseveldt, J. (2004). *International Human Resources Management*, (2nd Ed.). London: Sage Publications Ltd.
- [35]. Hsu-Hsin, C., Tzu-Shian, H., & Ju-Sung, C. (2011). *The relationship between high-commitment HRM and knowledge-sharing behaviour and its mediators*, *International Journal of Manpower*, 32(5), 604 – 622.
- [36]. Ivancevich, J.M., Lorenzi, P., Skinner, S.J., & Crosby, P.B. (2007). *Management Quality and competitiveness* (2nd Ed.). Boston: McGraw-Hill Irwin.
- [37]. Katou, A.A. (2008). *Measuring the impact of HRM on organisational performance. Journal of Industrial Engineering and Management*, 1(2), 119-142.
- [38]. Khan, G. (2012). *Determinants of human resource priorities and implications for organizational performance*, *Journal of Management*, 20(2), 54-77.
- [39]. Lee, F.H., & Lee, F. Z., (2007). *The relationships between HRM practices, Leadership style, competitive strategy and business performance in Taiwanese steel industry*, *Proceedings of the 13th Asia Pacific Management Conference*, Melbourne, Australia, 2007, 953-971.



- [40]. Mahoney, K., & Watson, G. (1993). *Empowering service employees*. *Sloan Management Review*, 36(2), 73-85.
- [41]. Molm, H. (2001). *High-involvement work practices, turnover, and productivity: Evidence from New Zealand*, *Academy of Management Journal*, 44(1), 180-190.
- [42]. Mugenda, A., & Mugenda, O. (2003). *Research Methods Quantitative and Qualitative Approaches*. (3rd Ed.). Nairobi: Acts Press Publishers.
- [43]. Paterson, N., Bredan, J., Gregnan, T., & Robinson, B. (2006). *The Role of Employees in Strategy Formulation, Implementation and Control*. *African Journal of Business Management*, 14 (3), 69-83.
- [44]. Pattananayak, H. (2008). *Human Resource Management (3rd Ed.)*. New Dehli: Prentice – Hall.
- [45]. Pearce, J., & Robinson, R. Jr. (2007). *Strategic Management (10th Edition.)*. McGraw- Hill International Edition.
- [46]. Pearce, N., & Peters, L. (1985). *The Human Resource Architecture: Toward A Theory of Human Capital Allocation and Development*. *Academy of Management Review*, 14(1) 21-28.
- [47]. Perkins, S.J., & Shortland, S.M. (2006). *Strategic International Human Resources Management Choices and Consequences in Multinational People Management*, (2nd Ed.). London: Kogan Page.
- [48]. Qureshi, T. M., Akbar, A.Khan, M. A., Sheikh, R. A., &Hijazi, S. T. (2010). *Do human resource management practices have an impact on the financial performance of banks?* *African Journal of Business Management*, 4(7), 1281-1288.
- [49]. Rawashdeh, A.M., & Al-Adwan, I.K. (2012). *The impact of human resource management practices on corporate performance: an Empirical study in Jordanian commercial banks*. *African Journal of Business Management*, 6 (41), 10591-10595.
- [50]. Salamon, M. (2000). *Industrial Relations: Theory and Practice*, (4th Ed.). New York: Prentice Hall.
- [51]. Sekaran, U. (2000). *Research Methods for Business*. New York: John Wiley & Sons, Inc.
- [52]. Som, A. (2008). *Innovative human resource management and corporate performance in the context of economic liberalization in India*, *The International Journal of Human Resource Management*, 19(7), 1278-1297.
- [53]. Stafford, G. (2008). *Organizational Performance and HRM strategies in Korea: Impact on Firm Performance in an Emerging Economy*, *Academy of Management Journal*, 43(3), 502–517.
- [54]. Torrington, K., Wright, T., & Tyson, A. (2005). *Toward a unifying framework for exploring fit and flexibility in strategic human resource management*, *Academy of Management Review*, 21(1), 5669.
- [55]. Tsui, U., Delery, N., & Ahmednar, E. (1997). *Issues of Fit in Strategic Human Resource Management and role in performance*. *Human Resource Management Review*, 7(2), 9-19.
- [56]. Tyson, S. (1995). *Human resource strategy*. London: Pitman Publishing.
- [57]. Ulrich, D., & Beatty, D. (2001). *From partners to players: Extending the HR playing field*. *Human Resource Management*, 40(4), 293-307.
- [58]. Ulrich, D., & Brockbank, W. (2005). *The HR value proposition*. Boston, MA: Harvard Business School Press.
- [59]. Whitener, E. M. (2001). *Do High Commitment Human Resource Practices affect Employee Commitment? A Cross-Level Analysis Using Hierarchical Linear Modeling*, *Journal of Management*, 27(5), 515 – 35.